

OBSITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO: Box 1450
Alpisandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,720	07/25/2001	Kenichi Ohura	Q65001	4065
7590 02/09/2004			EXAMINER	
	ON, ZINN, MACPEA	JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, D	C 20037		1733	
			D. 777	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	_				
·•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/911,720	OHURA, KENICHI			
		Examiner	· Art Unit			
	·	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repolar.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tatute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 October 2003				
		This action is non-final.	· .			
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
-,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,			
<b>4)</b> ⊠ <b>5)</b> □	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-12</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	• • •			
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [ a) l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
2)  Notic 3)  Inforr Pape	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date  prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/911,720
Art Unit: 1733

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 9-12 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caretta (4,819,705) in view of the admitted prior art, Bourgois (5,234,044), and Miyazono et al. (5,824,171).

These references are combined for the same reasons as set forth in Paper Number 7 paragraph 9, with Miyazono et al. added as further evidence that it is conventional to provide such monofilament cords in side by side groups even for the cross belt layers in the prior art tire exemplified by Caretta.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caretta (4,819,705) in view of the admitted prior art, Bourgois (5,234,044), and Miyazono et al. (5,824,171) as applied to claims 1, 2, and 4-7 above, and further in view of Zhang et al. (6,026,878).

These references are combined for the same reasons as set forth in Paper Number 7 paragraph 10, with Miyazono et al. added as further evidence that it is conventional to provide such monofilament cords in side by side groups even for the cross belt layers in the prior art tire exemplified by Caretta.

### Response to Arguments

5. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Once a terminal disclaimer based on copending U.S. Application Serial No. 09/853,674 having a common inventor with this application is filed to eliminate potential obviousness-type double patenting issues and the copending application is disqualified as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f), and (g)/103 by establishing common ownership at the time the invention in this application (the later application) was made (see MPEP 706.02(k)-706.02(1)(3)), favorable consideration would be given to claim 1 with the additional limitations of claims 5 and 6 in view of the specification paragraphs 0014-0016 reciting unexpected results (synergy of PEN cap and PEN carcass with the monofilament belt construction).

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/911,720

Art Unit: 1733

J. 1.

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (571)272-1218. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30AM-7:000PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571)272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Adrienne Johnstone

February 2, 2004